№ AO 472 (Rev. 12/03) Order of Detention Pending Trial

	UNITED S	STATES DISTR	UCT COURT MAGE	en g
	NORTHERN	District of	ILLINOIS	n Ensi-*
	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA			
	v.	ORDE	R OF DETENTION PENDING TRIA	\IL
	MARIO YOUNG	Case	08 CR 50024-1	
	Defendant			
	n accordance with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. stention of the defendant pending trial in this case.	§ 3142(f), a detention hearing	has been held. I conclude that the following facts re-	quire
		Part I—Findings of Fac		
□(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense describe or local offense that would have been a federal of a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § an offense for which the maximum sentence is	fense if a circumstance giving 3156(a)(4).	and has been convicted of a federal offense rise to federal jurisdiction had existed - that is] state
	an offense for which a maximum term of imprisonment of ten years or more is prescribed in			
_	§ 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state or let The offense described in finding (1) was committed. A period of not more than five years has elapsed for the offense described in finding (1).	ocal offenses. ed while the defendant was or since the	or more prior federal offenses described in 18 U.S.C. in release pending trial for a federal, state or local offerion. release of the defendant from imprisonmention or combination of conditions will reasonably assulant has not rebutted this presumption.	nse. 1
		Alternative Findings (A)	_	
$\square(1)$	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant has committed an offense			
	for which a maximum term of imprisonment under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	of ten years or more is prescri	bed in	
	The defendant has not rebutted the presumption cs the appearance of the defendant as required and the There is a serious risk that the defendant will not There is a serious risk that the defendant will end	ne safety of the community. Alternative Findings (B) appear.	(Style 220	y assure
			Total Law	
			- Cracket	
	Annual Control of the			

derand The education defends	find that the credible testimony and information su see of the evidence—that ourt finds that no condition or combination of cond	litions can assure the safety onas a criminal history with 2 f	ns for Detention shes by X clear and convincing evidence X a pre- f the community and the presence of the defendant. Telonics and one incarceration with IDOC. The defendant	(he
separa afford for the	he defendant is committed to the custody of the A atc, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting led a reasonable opportunity for private consultation	g or serving sentences or being with defense counsel. On or one facility shall defiver the counsel. P. MICHAEL	Detention ated representative for confinement in a corrections and held in custody pending appeal. The defendant is derived a court of the United States or on request of an analysis and the United States marshal for the purpose Signature of Judge MAHONEY, MAGISTRATE JUDGE Vame and Title of Judge	hall be ttorney

^{*}Insert as applicable: (a) Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. § 801 et seq.); (b) Controlled Substances Import and Export Act (21 U.S.C. § 951 et seq.); or (c) Section 1 of Act of Sept. 15, 1980 (21 U.S.C. § 955a).